UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,834	07/20/2005	Andrew G.L. Blackwood	041618-77	8811
22204 NIXON PEABO	7590 11/26/200 ODY, LLP	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STRE		RASHID, MAHBUBUR		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,834	BLACKWOOD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAHBUBUR RASHID	3657	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 (</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 12 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition of the accomposition of the specific properties of the spec	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1, 6-7, 10 and 12 are amended.

Claims 2 and 11 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant does not provide any support/evidence in the specification for the newly added limitations "if said system condition is not met with in a predetermined time period", in line 5 of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,834

Art Unit: 3657

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

Claims 1, 3-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck et al. (WO 01/17834) in view of Cramer et al. (US 5,027,529)(please note that the US patent 5,027,529 is the English equivalent of the Beck reference is used in this office action).

Regarding **claim 1, 10 and 12**, Beck discloses a vehicle air supply system (fig. 2) having a compressor (12), an air dryer (14), a reservoir (18) adapted to receive air from the compressor via the air dryer and control means (20) operable to cause standard regeneration of the air dryer when a predetermined system condition is met (abstract), the control means also being operable to cause an intermediate regeneration of the air dryer in advance of the predetermined system condition being met (see col. 2, lines 14-23) if said system condition is not met with in a predetermined time period, wherein the control means includes a governor adapted to cause the standard regeneration and a governor bypass adapted to cause the intermediate regeneration (col. 2, lines 24-27).

Art Unit: 3657

Beck discloses all claimed limitations as set forth above but fails to disclose a control means also selectively cause and inhibit the regeneration depending upon air supply requirements as claimed. However, Cramer discloses a compressed air system where a control unit enabling and disabling of the in response to pressure level variations in the system and it also responds to compressor disabling by causing the system air dryer to regenerate or purge for a predetermined time period (please see the abstract; figs. 1-2; see also col. 1, lines 18-23, lines 35-40, col. 1, line 64 to col. 2, line 9, col. 2, lines 13-17, col. 4, lines 7-18, col. 4, line 61 to col. 5, line 9 and col. 6, lines 11-15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such control means taught by Cramer in the system of Beck in order to assure that the purge valve will not remain open longer than necessary to regenerate the desiccant, and also assures that the air dryer is purged at regular intervals, provided that the pressure level in the reservoir remains above a minimum safe pressure level, thus making the system more reliable.

Re-claim 3, please see col. 1, lines 58-60 of Beck '463.

Re-claim 4, please see col. 1, lines 60-63 of Beck '463.

Re-claim 5, please see col. 1, line 63 of Beck '463.

Re-claim 6, please see col. 2, lines 1-3 of Beck '463.

Re-claim 7, please see col. 2, lines 3-6 of Beck '463.

Re-claim 8, please see col. 2, line 6 of Beck '463.

Re-claim 9, please see col. 2, lines 9-10 of Beck '463.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-10 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAHBUBUR RASHID whose telephone number is (571)272-7218. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on (571) 272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3657 /Robert A. Siconolfi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657